

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

EDWARD J. LYNCH, PATENT ATTORNEY ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER SUITE 562 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

MAILED

APR 2 9 2009

In re Application of : Fred H. Burbank, et al. :

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Application No. 10/650,027 Filed: August 27, 2003

**ON PETITION** 

Attorney Docket No. SENOP-00302

This is a decision in response to the petition, filed February 24, 2009, to revive the above-identified application under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action mailed June 3, 2008. A Notice of Abandonment was subsequently mailed on February 18, 2009. In response, on February 24, 2009, the present petition was filed.

The petition satisfies the conditions for revival pursuant to the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) a proposed reply in the form of an amendment; (2) the petition fee of \$810; and (3) an adequate statement of unintentional delay<sup>1</sup>.

The application is being referred to Technology Center AU 3736 for appropriate action by the Examiner in the normal course of business on the response filed February 24, 2009.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204. Inquiries relating to further prosecution should be directed to the Technology Center.

Sherry D. Brinkley Petitions Examiner Office of Petitions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. Although the statement contained in the petition varies from the language required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3), the statement will be construed as the statement required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3). Petitioner must notify the Office if this is **not** a correct interpretation of the statement contained in the instant petition.